



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,546		10/14/2003	Monte G. Rydalch	020366-092800US	3481	
20350	7590	12/29/2005		EXAMINER		
TOWNSEN	ND AND	TOWNSEND AN	DONDERO, WILLIAM E			
TWO EMBA	ARCADE	RO CENTER				
EIGHTH FL	.OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRAN	CISCO. (	CA 94111-3834		3654		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			,546	RYDALCH, MON	RYDALCH, MONTE G.	
			ner	Art Unit		
			E. Dondero	3654		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on t	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation.  Dry period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. To reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status				•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 02 December	· 2005.			
·			•			
/	Since this application is in condition for	<del></del>		tters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice		•	·		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in	the application.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	• •				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or electior	requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the E	Yaminar				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		h) objected to	hy the Evaminer		
10)[	Applicant may not request that any objection		•	· .		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	-	•	• •	CED 4 404(d)	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	·		<del>-</del> · · · · · ·	, ,	
·	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	y trie Examiner.	Note the attache	sa Office Action of form P	10-132.	
_	-					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority t	ander 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)(	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do			A 12 12 N		
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			•••		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t			n received in this Nationa	ıı Stage	
* 0	application from the International	·	1 1	4i		
3	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the ce	ruilea copies no	n received.		
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO			o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P1	ΓO-152)	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	U/30/U0)	6) Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 2, 2005 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Thornbury. Regarding Claim 1, Thornbury discloses a tool comprising a proximal portion have a first flange 16 connected with a shaft 48 extending from the flange and adapted for engagement with a powered mechanical rotation device 60, a distal portion having a second flange 14, a column 12 coupled with one 16 of the proximal and distal portions, the column mechanically and detachably engaged, by screws 30 and 30a (Column 3, Lines 7-14), with the other 14 of the proximal and distal portions, the column including a cavity 22 adapted to grip the filament and disposed such that the cavity is between the first and second flanges when the column is engaged with the other of the

Page 3

Art Unit: 3654

proximal and distal portions (Figures 2 and 3). The recitation of "a tool for opening a cable having a length of filament disposed within a sheath" is not given weight as it is merely intended use and does not add structure to the tool. Regarding Claim 2, Thornbury discloses the column fixedly coupled with the proximal portion 16 (Figures 2 and 3). Regarding Claim 3, Thornbury discloses the column 12 comprises a hollow interior and the cavity 22 comprises a hole extending through the surface of the column to the hollow interior (Figures 2 and 3; and column 1, line 67). Regarding Claim 4, Thornbury discloses the cavity comprising a plurality of cavities 22 and 24, each being adapted to grip the filament. Regarding Claim 5, Thornbury discloses the powered mechanical rotation device 60 is a hand-held drill (Figures 2 and 3; and column 3, lines 46-49).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Thornbury in view of Bulman. Thornbury discloses a tool as discussed above in regards to Claim 1. Thornbury is silent about the first flange comprising a threaded hole and the column being threaded on the proximal end. However, Bulman teaches a first flange 14 comprising a threaded hole 30 and the column 12 being threaded at the proximal end 18 (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to thread a hole and the column end of Thornbury's tool as taught by Bulman to allow for easy disassembly of the tool for removing the filament.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thornbury in view of Bulman. Thornbury discloses a tool as discussed above in regards to Claim 1. Thornbury is silent about the second flange comprising a threaded hole and the column being threaded on the proximal end. However, Bulman discloses a second flange 14 comprising a threaded hole 30 and the column 12 being threaded at the distal end 18 (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to thread a hole and the column end of Thornbury's tool as taught by Bulman to allow for easy disassembly of the tool for removing the filament.

Claim 9-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiano in view of Thornbury. Regarding Claims 9 and 14, Tiano discloses a method for opening a cable having a length of filament within a sheath, comprising attaching an end of the filament 32 to a tool having a column 12 disposed between two flanges; and thereafter, rotating the column to pull the filament from the sheath and to spool the filament about the column (Figures 2, 3, and Claim 9). Tiano is silent about a cavity adapted to grip the filament and separating one of the flanges from the column to release the spooled filament. However, Thornbury discloses a portable winding device with a cavity 22 and a column mechanically and detachably engaged with a second flange 14, by screws 30 and 30a (Figure 2, Column 3, Lines 7-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Thornbury's cavity and detachable second flange to grip the filament and remove the

spooled filament from the tool, respectively, because these steps would result from the use of device of Tiano in view of Thornbury in its normal and expected fashion to allow the spool to be emptied and continue spooling the rest of the filament from the sheath. Regarding Claim 10, Tiano discloses rotating the column comprises rotating the column with a powered mechanical rotation device T engaged with the tool (Figures 2 and 3). Regarding Claim 11, Tiano discloses the powered mechanical rotation device T is a hand-help drill (Figures 2, 3). Regarding Claim 12, Tiano discloses the tool has a shaft 22 extending from a first of the flanges; and rotating the column comprises rotating the shaft 22 with a powered mechanical rotating device T engaged with the shaft 22 (Figures 2 and 3).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiano in view of Thornbury as applied to claims 9 and 12 above, and further in view of Bulman. Tiano in view of Thornbury disclose a method for opening a cable as discussed above in regards to Claims 9 and 12. Tiano in view of Thornbury is silent about separating the first of the flanges from the column. However, Bulman discloses a detachable first flange 14 (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Bulman's detachable first flange in the method of Tiano in view of Thornbury to separate the flange from the column and remove the spooled fiber because this step would result from the use of device of Tiano in view of Thornbury in further view of Bulman in its normal and expected fashion.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiano in view of Thornbury as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Bulman. Tiano in

view of Thornbury discloses a method for opening a cable as discussed above in regards to Claim 9. Tiano in view of Thornbury is silent about one of the flanges comprising a threaded hole into which a threaded end of the column is screwed; and separating the one of the flanges from the column comprising unscrewing the column relative to the one of the flanges. However, Bulman discloses a flange 14 comprising a threaded hole 30 into which a threaded end 18 of the column 12 is screwed (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Bulman's threaded flange and column in the method of Tiano in view of Thornbury to separate the flange and column for removal of the filament by unscrewing the column relative to the one of the flanges because this step would result from the use of device of Tiano in view of Thornbury in further view of Bulman in its normal and expected fashion.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiano in view of Thornbury as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art. Tiano in view of Thornbury discloses a method for opening a cable as discussed above in regards to Claim 9. Tiano in view of Thornbury is silent about the filament comprising a strength member of an optical-fiber cable. However, the applicant's prior art discloses the use of strengthening members of fiber optic cables on page 2, paragraph 2, lines 16-18. It would have been obvious to use the method of Tiano in view of Thornbury to remove a strength member from an optical-fiber cable because this step would result from the use of device of Tiano in view of Thornbury in its normal and expected fashion

Claim 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Tiano in view of Thornbury. Regarding Claim 17, Tiano discloses a system for opening
a cable having a length of filament 32 within a sheath 30 comprising a means for
gripping an end of the filament 13; a means for extracting the filament 32 from within the
sheath 30 and for spooling the extracted filament; and a means for confining the
filament, flanges at each end of the longitudinal region 12, to a longitudinal region 12 as
the filament is spooled (Figures 2 and 3). Tiano is silent about a means for
mechanically disengaging the means for confining to release the spooled filament from
the longitudinal region. However, Thornbury teaches a flange 14 mechanically
detachable, by screws 30 and 30a (Column 3, Lines 7-14), from a longitudinal region
(Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to make one of Tiano's flanges detachable as taught by Thornbury
as the means for removing the means for confining to allow for the removal of the
spooled filament.

Regarding Claim 18, Tiano in view of Thornbury discloses a system for opening a cable as discussed above in regards to Claim 17. Further, Thornbury discloses the means for gripping the end of the filament comprises a cavity 22 in a column 12 about which the filament is spooled (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Thornbury's cavity to Tiano's column to grip the filament.

Regarding Claim 19, Tiano in view of Thornbury discloses a system for opening a cable as discussed above in regards to Claims 17 and 18. Tiano further discloses the

means for extracting the filament from within the sheath and for spooling the extracted filament comprise a means for rotating the column 12 about an axis of the column 12, the drill T and shaft 22 (Figures 1 and 2).

Regarding Claim 20, Tiano in view of Thornbury discloses a system for opening a cable as discussed above in regards to Claim 17, 18, and 19. Further Tiano discloses the means for confining the filament comprises first and second flanges disposed at positions along the axis (Figures 2 and 3). Tiano is silent about the cavity being disposed between the first and second flanges; and at least one of the first and second flanges being removable from the column. However, Thornbury discloses the cavity 22 disposed between the first 16 and second 14 flanges; and the second flange 14 is removable from the column 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Thornbury's cavity and removable flange to grip the filament and remove the spooled material, respectively.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 2, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the column mechanically and detachably engaged with one of the proximal and distal portion) is moot. Thornbury teaches the column 12 is mechanically and detachably engaged to the distal portion by screws 30 and 30a as shown in Figure 2 and Column 3, Lines 7-14.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Dondero whose telephone number is 571-272-5590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wed

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER